1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	FO	TES DISTRICT COURT R THE FORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS
9		
10	In re ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE)) CASE NO. CV 05-00019
11	LI YING HUA, ET AL.,))
12	Plaintiffs,) MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND
13	VS.	FOR SHORTENTED TIME ON MOTION
14	JUNG JIN CORPORATION, ET AL.,	FOR CONTINUANCE
15	Defendants.	Time: Date:
16	Judge: Munson	
17	On December 7, 2006, this Court issued an order called Amended Order in Aid of	
18	Judgment, which contained an Order to Show Cause why subject property listed in paragraph one	
19	(1) of the Order should not be sold by the U.S. Marshal free of liens and encumbrances. The	
20	Court set a hearing date of December 27, 2006.	
21		
22	In paragraph one (1) of the Order, the Court listed properties under subsection a, c, and d,	
23	in which Pil Sun Kim Kitami has a security interest. The Court issued the Order to Show Cause	
24	without any notice to Mrs. Kitami and without citation to any authority for such an order that	
25	could potentially extinguish her security interests. The bases for the Order are apparently a	
26	conclusionary declaration filed by Plaintiffs' counsel on or about November 28, 2006, par. 6, and a	
27	motion of November 9, 2006 at 6, in which the Plaintiffs assert a procedure for extinguishing	
28		
	liens, without any citation to authority for the truncated procedure. -1-	
Į.	·	<u>*</u>

Ms. Kitami objects to the procedure and to the merits justifying an order to show cause.

As it were, Mrs. Kitami is not in a position to assert adequately her interest on the ordered date of December 27, 2006, as her counsel is off-island in the continental United States, and she has not had the opportunity to discover the basis for the Plaintiffs' position with respect to her security interest except as the position is expressed in the declaration of Plaintiffs' counsel.

Mrs. Kitami has a material interest in the *res* in that the *res* secures a debt of approximately \$300,000.00. This substantial amount should not be subject to arbitrary deprivation or hurried procedure of which she had no notice and no opportunity to rebut adequately.

Therefore, Mrs. Kitami requests the Court continue the hearing until January 17, 2007 and that she have until January 15, 2007, to file an appropriate memorandum. Her counsel returns to the jurisdiction on January 3, 2007. Mrs. Kitami is not requesting an extravagant amount of time. Two weeks to prepare is the time allotted by this Court to respond to a motion.

This motion is supported by a declaration of counsel. The motion should be decided on shortened time.

Dated: December 21, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard W. Pierce

Attorney for Pil Sun Kim Kitami